

NO CHANGE in Class. ☐

☐ DECLASSIFIED

Class. CHANGED TO: TS S C

DDA Memo, 4 Apr 77

Auth: DDA REG. 77/1763

Date: 230378 By: 025

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

MEMO-AMEMB FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Attached Office of Training Staff Study on Psychological Services.

1. I agree in general with the philosophy and reasoning set forth in this paper, but disagree with two features in the proposed Notice. First, the use of the word "concurrence" in the last sentence of the policy statement is not proper. This sentence is in part, "....the development and application of psychological services and techniques for operational and other purposes, shall be subject to the review, coordination, and concurrence of the Office of Training". The word "concurrence" should be replaced by the word "recommendation" because otherwise the Office of Training is in position to veto a project. The Director of Training agrees.

2. The sentence contained in Paragraph 2a(1) is too broad. It reads: "The Director of Training shall exercise staff supervision over the selection, assignment, and utilization of professional psychologists throughout the Agency, including its overseas installations." The use of a psychologist in a medical or psychiatric program should be solely within the jurisdiction of the Chief, Medical Staff, for him to choose whether he would use the service from the Office of Training's psychological staff, or attach a psychologist within his own T/O. Further, the use of the words "staff supervision" points up a long standing ambiguity with respect to the meaning of such term. The Director of Training agrees.

3. It is suggested that this paper dealing with only psychological services is part of a larger problem, and hence by dealing with the larger and more important problem, inclusive of psychological services, there would result a better product. In order to do this, a quite different paper is submitted herewith, together with a proposed Notice.

4. The Director of Training agrees in the suggested larger treatment, and also in the substance of the new paper and with the proposed Notice.

5. The AD/Personnel also agrees in the substance of the new paper and with the proposed Notice.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~